

UNITED STATES GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

CIVIL ACCOUNTING AND
AUDITING DIVISION

Document No. _____
Review of this document by CIA has
determined that
☒ CIA has no objection to release
☐ It contains information of CIA
interest that must remain
classified at TS 1
Authority: WR 70-2
☐ It contains nothing of CIA interest
Date 2-3-81 Reviewer cc/99

January 25, 1960

MEMORANDUM TO THE FILE

STATINTL

E. L. Pahl, CAAD, met with [REDACTED] (GAO contact
in the Office of the Deputy Director, Support) on Friday, January
22, 1960, at 3:00 PM. The following summarizes the matters
discussed.

STATINTL

Mr. Pahl requested clarification of the extent to which Mr.
Samuelson should be permitted knowledge of the details of the
CIA audit in light of Mr. White's statement in our January 7, 1960,
meeting that we should not withhold any information from Mr.
Samuelson. [REDACTED], although he could not recall the
specific context of Mr. White's statements, advised that Mr.
White's statement was to the effect that Mr. Samuelson should be
granted access to whatever information was necessary for Mr.
Samuelson to carry out his responsibilities for the CIA audit.
[REDACTED] advised that this did not contemplate that all of
the specific knowledge and data obtained in our audit could be
available for Mr. Samuelson's review, but meant that, for example,
any reports on our audit activity would be subject to his review.
The same approach would apply to Mr. Campbell, Mr. Keller and
Mr. Morse of GAO -- the findings of our audit generally can be
discussed with these officials to the extent necessary for them
to carry out their responsibilities.

STATINTL

25X1A

STATINTL

[REDACTED] suggested that the book CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE
AND NATIONAL SECURITY by [REDACTED] Ransom, Harvard Press, 1958,
would be helpful to GAO in getting a general understanding of
CIA, its mission and background, and its place in the U. S.
Intelligence Community, and he made a copy of the book temporar-
ily available for our use.

STATINTL

[REDACTED] advised he understood GAO wanted a designation
in writing of the specific documents and records that could be
made available for GAO audit in the Fiscal Division.

*In order to satisfactorily
explain matter to Mr. Samuelson
& his staff, it may prove necessary
to provide all details of a matter,
even if they are acceptable under the
theory. However, under this theory
it will be necessary to withhold
from the answer as seen
Pahl*

- 2 -

Mr. Pahl explained that he had not requested any written guidelines on access. It was pointed out that our usual audit approach was to obtain sufficient data during the survey phase to place us in a position to determine what areas should receive audit priority and to be in a position to program these areas. To be in a position to program in such a highly classified and compartmented operation as CIA, required the programmer to have knowledge of the specific documents, records, and information that would be available for review and audit, and that which would be withheld from review and audit in the interest of CIA security.

STATINTL

██████████ agreed that such an approach appeared to be reasonable, and appeared necessary to any expansion of the GAO audit. He pointed out, however, that to delineate areas of access in the Fiscal Division, or other CIA organizational components, without an indication of the extent of the audit or review of such an area might lead to greater restrictions being placed on access given to GAO than might be allowed if GAO could be more specific as to what the extent of their audit might be in that area. An example of this would be the books of original entry supporting the Fiscal Division General Ledger. The Agency will not grant unlimited access to the Cash Receipts Register summarizing receipt transactions for posting to the Fiscal Division General Ledger, because complete access would enable GAO to obtain knowledge of the source or sources and the amounts of funds of the Agency, which sources and amounts may be of a confidential nature that could not be disclosed to us. However, limited access to this register might be granted to GAO to the extent necessary, perhaps, to the expansion of our audit without violating the security restrictions involved.

Mr. Pahl agreed to continue his preliminary survey phase of the CIA audit on the basis that the determination of what specific audit access will be granted will be made when, and if, an audit of an activity is scheduled. At such time, and on a "need to know" basis of what is necessary to the audit of such activity, the determination as to GAO access will be requested.

STATINTL

██████████ asked when we expected to complete the preliminary phase of our CIA audit. Mr. Pahl advised that Mr. Samuelson's initial thinking had been a matter of about 2 months would be involved. However, because of the limited access to written background material on the agency and its components, Pahl expressed the belief that it would be a considerably longer period than Mr. Samuelson had anticipated.

E. Pahl